

### REMARKS/ARGUMENT

Applicant responds herein to the Office Action dated March 14, 2006.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 82-87 are pending. Applicant notes with appreciation that claims 2-5, 7 and 83-87 are indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form.

The Office Action further states that these claims would be allowable if rewritten to overcome rejections based on 35 U.S.C. § 112. However, the text on page 5 of the Office Action appears to be in error, and appears to be copied from a prior Office Action dated September 27, 2005 ("Prior Office Action"), as there is no pending rejection based on 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Allowable claims 2, 3, 83 and 84 have been rendered in independent form. The remaining pending claims depend therefrom.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims and pass this case to issue.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 12, 2006


MAX MOSKOWITZ

Name of applicant, assignee or  
Registered Representative

Signature  
June 12, 2006

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

  
MAX MOSKOWITZ  
Registration No.: 30,576  
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP  
1180 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-8403  
Telephone: (212) 382-0700